

# On the number of orthogonal systems in vector spaces over finite fields

Le Anh Vinh  
 Mathematics Department  
 Harvard University  
 Cambridge, MA 02138, US  
 vinh@math.harvard.edu

July 17, 2008

## Abstract

Iosevich and Senger (2008) showed that if a subset of the  $d$ -dimensional vector space over a finite field is large enough, then it contains many  $k$ -tuples of mutually orthogonal vectors. In this note, we provide a graph theoretic proof of this result.

## 1 Introduction

A classical set of problems in combinatorial geometry deals with the question of whether a sufficiently large subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  or  $\mathbb{F}_q^d$  contains a given geometric configuration. In a recent paper [3], Iosevich and Senger showed that a sufficiently large subset of  $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ , the  $d$ -dimensional vector space over the finite field with  $q$  elements, contains many  $k$ -tuple of mutually orthogonal vectors. Using geometric and character sum machinery, they proved the following result (see [3] for the motivation of this result).

**Theorem 1.1** ([3]) *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ , such that*

$$|E| \geq C q^{d \frac{k-1}{k} + \frac{k-1}{2} + \frac{1}{k}} \quad (1.1)$$

*with a sufficiently large constant  $C > 0$ , where  $0 < \binom{k}{2} < d$ . Let  $\lambda_k$  be the number of  $k$ -tuples of  $k$  mutually orthogonal vectors in  $E$ . Then*

$$\lambda_k = (1 + o(1)) \frac{|E|^k}{k!} q^{-\binom{k}{2}}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this note, we provide a different proof to this result using graph theoretic methods. The main result of this note is the following.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ , such that*

$$|E| \gg q^{\frac{d}{2}+k-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $d \geq 2k-1$ . Then the number of  $k$ -tuples of  $k$  mutually orthogonal vectors in  $E$  is

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{|E|^k}{k!} q^{-\binom{k}{2}}. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that Theorem 1.1 only works in the range  $d \geq \binom{k}{2}$  (as larger tuples of mutually orthogonal vectors are out of range of the methods uses) while Theorem 1.2 works in a wider range  $d \geq 2k-1$ . Moreover, Theorem 1.2 is stronger than Theorem 1.1 in the same range.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We call a graph  $G = (V, E)$   $(n, d, \lambda)$ -graph if  $G$  is a  $d$ -regular graph on  $n$  vertices with the absolute values of each of its eigenvalues but the largest one is at most  $\lambda$ . It is well-known that if  $\lambda \ll d$  then an  $(n, d, \lambda)$ -graph behaves similarly as a random graph  $G_{n,d/n}$ . Let  $H$  be a fixed graph of order  $s$  with  $r$  edges and with automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(H)$ . Using the second moment method, it is not difficult to show that for every constant  $p$  the random graph  $G(n, p)$  contains

$$(1 + o(1)) p^r (1 - p)^{\binom{s}{2} - r} \frac{n^s}{|\text{Aut}(H)|} \quad (2.1)$$

induced copies of  $H$ . Alon extended this result to  $(n, d, \lambda)$ -graphs. He proved that every large subset of the set of vertices of an  $(n, d, \lambda)$ -graph contains the “correct” number of copies of any fixed small subgraph (Theorem 4.10 in [2]).

**Theorem 2.1** ([2]) *Let  $H$  be a fixed graph with  $r$  edges,  $s$  vertices and maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and let  $G = (V, E)$  be an  $(n, d, \lambda)$ -graph, where, say,  $d \leq 0.9n$ . Let  $m < n$  satisfies  $m \gg \lambda \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^\Delta$ . Then, for every subset  $U \subset V$  of cardinality  $m$ , the number of (not necessarily induced) copies of  $H$  in  $U$  is*

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{m^s}{|\text{Aut}(H)|} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^r. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that the above theorem is stated for simple graphs in [2] but there is no different in the proof if we allow loops in the graph  $G$ .

We recall a well-known construction of Alon and Krivelevich [1]. Let  $PG(q, d)$  denote the projective geometry of dimension  $d-1$  over finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The vertices of  $PG(q, d)$  correspond to the equivalence classes of the set of all non-zero vectors  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where two vectors are equivalent if one is a multiple of the other by an element of the field. Let  $G_P(q, d)$  denote the graph whose vertices are the points of  $PG(q, d)$  and two (not necessarily distinct) vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $x_1y_1 + \dots + x_dy_d = 0$ .

This construction is well known. In the case  $d = 2$ , this graph is called the Erdős-Rényi graph. It is easy to see that the number of vertices of  $G_P(q, d)$  is  $n_{q,d} = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$  and that it is  $d_{q,d}$ -regular for  $d_{q,d} = (q^{d-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ . The eigenvalues of  $G$  are easy to compute ([1]). Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . Then, by properties of  $PG(q, d)$ ,  $A^2 = AA^T = \mu J + (d_{q,d} - \mu)I$ , where  $\mu = (q^{d-2} - 1)/(q - 1)$ ,  $J$  is the all one matrix and  $I$  is the identity matrix, both of size  $n_{q,d} \times n_{q,d}$ . Thus the largest eigenvalue of  $A$  is  $d_{q,d}$  and the absolute value of all other eigenvalues is  $\sqrt{d_{q,d} - \mu} = q^{(d-2)/2}$ .

Now we are ready to give a proof of Theorem 1.2. Let  $G(q, d)$  denote the graph whose vertices are the points of  $\mathbb{F}_q^d - (0, \dots, 0)$  and two (not necessarily distinct) vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if they are orthogonal, i.e.  $x_1y_1 + \dots + x_dy_d = 0$ . Then  $G(q, d)$  is just the product of  $q - 1$  copies of  $G_P(q, d)$ . Therefore, it is easy to see that the number of vertices of  $G$  is  $N_{q,d} = (q - 1)n_{q,d} = q^d - 1$  and that it is  $D_{q,d}$ -regular for  $D_{q,d} = (q - 1)d_{q,d} = q^{d-1} - 1$ . The eigenvalues of  $G(q, d)$  are also easy to compute. Let  $V$  be the adjacency matrix of  $G(q, d)$ . Then by the properties of  $PG(q, d)$ ,

$$V^2 = VV^T = \rho J_{N_{q,d}} + (D_{q,d} - \rho) \bigoplus_{n_{q,d}} J_{q-1}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\rho = (q - 1)\mu = q^{d-2} - 1$ ,  $J_{N_{q,d}}$  is the all one matrix of size  $N_{q,d} \times N_{q,d}$  and  $J_{q-1}$  is the all one matrix of size  $(q - 1) \times (q - 1)$ . Thus, all eigenvalues of  $V^2$  are all eigenvalues of  $(q - 1)\rho J_{n_{q,d}} + (q - 1)(D_{q,d} - \rho)I_{n_{q,d}}$  and zeros (with  $J_{n_{q,d}}$  is the all one matrix and  $I_{n_{q,d}}$  is the identity matrix, both of size  $n_{q,d} \times n_{q,d}$ ). Therefore, the largest eigenvalue of  $V$  is  $D_{q,d}$  and the absolute values of all other eigenvalues are either  $\sqrt{(q - 1)(D_{q,d} - \rho)} = (q - 1)q^{(d-2)/2}$  or 0. This implies that  $G(q, d)$  is a  $(q^d - 1, q^{d-1} - 1, (q - 1)q^{(d-2)/2})$ -graph. Theorem 1.2 now follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.

## Acknowledgments

The research is performed during the author's visit at the Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics. The author would like to thank the ESI for hospitality and financial support during his visit.

## References

- [1] N. Alon and M. Krivelevich, Constructive bounds for a Ramsey-type problem, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **13** (1997), 217-225.
- [2] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, Pseudo-random graphs, *Conference on Finite and Infinite Sets Budapest*, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies X, pp. 1-64.
- [3] A. Iosevich and S. Senger, Orthogonal systems in vector spaces over finite fields, preprint (2008).